

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/773,104	02/05/2004	Charles M. Yaczkanich	4503-040244 3973		
28289 7	590 07/05/2005		EXAMINER		
THE WEBB LAW FIRM, P.C.			THOMPSON, HUGH B		
700 KOPPERS	BUILDING		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
436 SEVENTH AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PITTSBURGH	I, PA 15219	3634			

DATE MAILED: 07/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/773,104		YACZKANICH, CHARLES M.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Hugh B. Thomps		3634				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howeverther the statutory minuil apply and will expire cause the application to	ever, may a reply be time nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this coor (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ap	oril 2005.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
_	4) ☐ Claim(s) 13 and 15-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
6)⊠ 7)⊠	5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>13,15-20,29 and 30</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☑ Claim(s) <u>21-28</u> is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election require	ment.					
	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified co	opies not receive	d.	·			
Attachmen		_						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 📙	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) 6)		atent Application (PT	O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 13, 15-20, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fugman #5,651,484. Fugman, as best seen in Figures 6, 8, and 9, discloses a vehicle attachable support bracket assembly 10, comprised of a pair of ladder receiving tubular frame assemblies 24, each frame assembly having an elongated (ladder engaging) main leg member 42, a V-shaped extension member located at an end of 42, comprised of elements 74, 76, 78, upper arm members 82, 50, located at a second end of 42, and a retaining member assembly comprised of horizontally extending elements 30, 34, which extend from arm member 50.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 21-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The primary reason for the allowable subject matter of claim 21 is the inclusion of the main leg member having a curved lower end forming a threaded cusp that threadedably receives the extension member, and the main leg member also having an upper end with an eye affixed thereto. The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the claimed features absent the applicant's own disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,104 Page 3

Art Unit: 3634

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed in the Amendment of 4-14-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. At the outset, it is the patentability of the support bracket and not its relationship to unclaimed elements such as the ATV that is to be determined. The applicant argues repeatedly how the frame assembly is removably attachable to the ATV. There is no ATV being claimed. If the applicant is relying upon a particular structure of the bracket relative to the ATV, then it is strongly suggested that the applicant recite the combination of the ATV and bracket. The intended vehicle of preference of Fugman is in no way a disqualifying factor in use of the reference and the vehicle support bracket that is disclosed therein. With respect to claim 30, any object can be designed and configured for specific purpose. However, if there is some special structural relationship between the designed object and an accompanying element, then it can reasonably assumed that both the object and the element are necessary to achieve that relationship. As such with the instant invention, it appears that a particular ATV is need for use with the bracket, and that the ATV should be positively recited. Please also note that there are many makes and sizes of ATV's, and that the applicant can't reasonably assume that the instant invention can be used with every single one, especially when there is no written disclosure not evidence to that effect. Absent additional structural limitations of the bracket, the rejection is deemed proper.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hugh B. Thompson II whose telephone number is (571) 272-6837. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 9 am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (571) 272-6777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,104

Art Unit: 3634

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hugh B. Thompson II Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

June 26, 2005